

**RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Industrial Concentration and Dispersion Trends in Major Indian States

**Stanisław Nowak**

Department of Economic Science, University of Warsaw, Poland

**VOLUME:** Vol.02 Issue01 2023

**PAGE:** 01-04

Copyright © 2023 International Journal of Political Sciences and Economics, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

## Abstract

This study investigates the patterns of industrial concentration and dispersion across major industrial states in India. Over the past few decades, India's industrial landscape has undergone significant transformation, with certain states emerging as industrial hubs while others lag behind. By employing a combination of quantitative methods, including location quotient analysis, Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI), and spatial analysis, this research evaluates the extent to which industrial activities are concentrated or dispersed within the leading industrial states of India. The study explores the factors influencing these trends, including state-level policies, infrastructure development, access to raw materials, labor availability, and market proximity. The results show distinct regional disparities, with some states exhibiting high industrial concentration due to the presence of key sectors like manufacturing, information technology, and heavy industries, while others show signs of industrial dispersion in response to evolving economic and policy environments. The findings highlight the need for balanced regional industrial development strategies to ensure equitable growth across India.

## KEY WORDS

Industrial Concentration, Industrial Dispersion, Indian States, Economic Development, Regional Disparities, Location Quotient, Herfindahl-Hirschman Index, Industrial Clusters, Spatial Analysis, Policy Impact.

## INTRODUCTION

The introduction provides an overview of the study, highlighting the importance of assessing the industrial concentration and dispersion patterns within major Indian industrial states. It emphasizes the significance of understanding the spatial distribution of industries and its implications for regional development, economic growth, and policy-making.

The introduction discusses the rapid industrialization and economic growth witnessed in India, leading to the emergence of industrial clusters and the concentration of industries in specific regions. It also highlights the potential drawbacks of excessive concentration, such as regional imbalances, uneven development, and increased vulnerability

to external shocks. On the other hand, industrial dispersion can contribute to more inclusive growth, balanced development, and reduced regional disparities.

The objectives of the study are presented, focusing on assessing the level of industrial concentration and dispersion within major Indian industrial states. The research aims to identify the regions with high industrial concentration and explore the factors driving concentration, as well as to examine the regions with dispersed industrial activities and understand the factors influencing dispersion.

## METHODOLOGY

To assess the trends of industrial concentration and dispersion in major Indian states, this study uses a mix of quantitative tools, spatial analysis, and econometric models. The goal is to identify the degree of concentration or dispersion of industrial activities in each state, while considering a variety of factors that contribute to these patterns, including infrastructure, policy interventions, labor markets, and market access. The following sections describe in detail the methodologies employed to achieve these objectives, which include the use of location quotient (LQ) analysis, Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI), and spatial analysis techniques. Each of these methods offers unique insights into how industries are distributed across different regions of India and how they interact with the broader economic environment.

## 1. Data Collection and Overview

The first step in analyzing industrial concentration and dispersion is to compile relevant data on the industrial sectors operating in major Indian states. The study primarily relies on secondary data sources, such as the Annual Survey of Industries (ASI) conducted by the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MoSPI), the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) database, and data from the Directorate General of Commercial Intelligence and Statistics (DGCI&S). These sources provide detailed statistics on the number of industrial establishments, employment figures, production volumes, and financial performance across states.

The dataset is then categorized into various industrial sectors, including manufacturing, information technology, textiles, chemicals, and heavy industries, to capture the heterogeneity of industrial activities in different regions. Additionally, information on state-level infrastructure (such as road networks, power availability, and ports), economic policies, labor force characteristics, and raw material availability is incorporated to contextualize the patterns of industrial concentration and dispersion. The study focuses on a set of major industrial states, such as Maharashtra, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, and Karnataka, which have historically played pivotal roles in India's industrialization.

## 2. Location Quotient (LQ) Analysis

The Location Quotient (LQ) is a key tool for measuring the relative concentration of industrial activities in specific states compared to the national average. This methodology helps identify industries that are disproportionately concentrated or underrepresented in particular states. The LQ for each industry sector in a given state is calculated using the formula:

$$LQ_{ij} = (E_{in} / E_n) / (E_{ij} / E_j)$$

Where:

- $E_{ij}$  is the employment in sector  $i$  in state  $j$ ,
- $E_j$  is the total employment in state  $j$ ,
- $E_{in}$  is the total employment in sector  $i$  across India, and
- $E_n$  is the total employment in India.

An LQ value greater than 1 indicates a higher-than-average concentration of that industry in a given state, while an LQ less than 1 suggests a lower-than-average concentration. This method provides a straightforward means of identifying industrial clusters and gauging whether certain states specialize in particular sectors. For example, states like Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu may show high LQs for the manufacturing and automobile industries, while Gujarat may have high LQs in chemicals and petrochemicals.

LQ analysis is particularly useful in understanding how states with different levels of industrialization compare to national trends. It highlights industrial specializations and allows for identifying potential areas for diversification or growth. By comparing the LQ scores over time, the study also tracks how these concentrations change, revealing the evolving patterns of industrial concentration and dispersion in India.

## 3. Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) Analysis

While LQ analysis provides a snapshot of industrial concentration at the sectoral level, the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) offers a more comprehensive measure of industrial concentration at the state level. The HHI is a commonly used metric to assess market concentration and is calculated as the sum of the squared market shares of all industries in a given state:

$$HHI_j = \sum_{i=1}^n (s_{ij})^2$$

Where:

- $s_{ij}$  is the market share of industry  $i$  in state  $j$ ,
- $n$  is the number of industries in the state.

The HHI ranges from 0 to 10,000, where 0 indicates perfect dispersion (i.e., an equal distribution of industries) and 10,000 represents total concentration (i.e., one industry dominates the entire state). An HHI value between 1,500 and 2,500 is generally considered moderately concentrated, while values above 2,500 indicate high concentration.

In the context of this study, the HHI is used to assess the overall concentration of industrial activities in a given state and to evaluate whether certain states are dominated by a few large sectors or exhibit a more diversified industrial base. For example, states like Maharashtra and Gujarat, with highly diversified industrial sectors, are expected to have lower HHI scores, indicating a more balanced distribution of industrial activities. In contrast, states with dominant industries, such as Uttar Pradesh with a focus on the textile industry, may show higher HHI values, signaling industrial concentration.

HHI analysis provides an important lens for understanding how industrial activities are distributed in terms of sectoral concentration, and how policies and economic conditions influence these patterns. It also offers insights into potential risks associated with over-reliance on a single industry, such as vulnerability to economic shocks or changes in global demand.

## 4. Spatial Analysis and GIS Mapping

Spatial analysis is another crucial component of the methodology used to study industrial concentration and dispersion trends. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology is employed to visualize and analyze the spatial distribution of industries across Indian states. GIS maps enable the identification of industrial clusters, corridors, and regions of concentrated economic activity. By mapping the location of key industries, the study can assess whether industrial activities are concentrated in specific regions or whether there is a broader, more even distribution across states.

Spatial analysis is particularly useful for examining the geographical factors that influence industrial dispersion or concentration. For example, states with better infrastructure, proximity to ports, or access to raw materials are more likely to exhibit higher industrial concentration. The study also uses proximity-based analysis to assess whether industrial activities are clustering around specific urban centers or are dispersed across rural areas.

Using GIS mapping, the study identifies industrial clusters—regions where specific industries, such as automobile manufacturing or textiles, are geographically concentrated. These clusters are important for understanding the potential for economies of scale, labor specialization, and infrastructure synergies. Additionally, GIS mapping allows for the visual representation of industrial dispersion trends, helping to identify regions where industrial growth is more evenly distributed or where certain states remain underdeveloped industrially.

## 5. Econometric Models and Regression Analysis

To further explore the factors that contribute to industrial concentration and dispersion, econometric models and regression analysis are employed. These models examine the relationships between industrial distribution and various economic, infrastructural, and policy variables. By using multiple regression techniques, the study identifies the determinants of industrial concentration in each state, considering variables such as infrastructure development, labor availability, state-level policies, proximity to major markets, and access to raw materials.

For instance, a regression model might assess how improvements in transportation infrastructure or tax incentives influence the location of new industrial establishments. Additionally, panel data regression is used to study how changes over time—such as shifts in national economic policy or global market conditions—affect the concentration or dispersion of industrial activities in Indian states.

## RESULTS

The results section presents the findings of the study on the industrial concentration and dispersion of major Indian industrial states. It provides an analysis of the spatial distribution of industries, employment, investment, and production across different regions within these states.

The results reveal varying levels of industrial concentration and dispersion among the major Indian industrial states. Some states exhibit high industrial concentration, with certain regions emerging as industrial hubs, characterized by a dense concentration of industries, high employment levels, and significant investments. On the other hand, other regions within these states demonstrate dispersed industrial activities, with a more even distribution of industries across different areas.

The analysis of key indicators such as employment and investment patterns further illustrate the degree of concentration or dispersion within these industrial states. It highlights the states or regions that contribute significantly to industrial growth and employment generation, as well as those with more dispersed industrial activities.

## DISCUSSION

The discussion section provides an in-depth interpretation and analysis of the results, exploring the factors contributing to the observed patterns of industrial concentration and dispersion. It examines the role of factors such as geographical location, availability of resources, infrastructure, government policies, and market demand in shaping the industrial landscape of these states.

The discussion also considers the implications of industrial concentration and dispersion for regional development and economic growth. It explores the potential advantages and disadvantages associated with different patterns. For instance, concentrated industrial regions may benefit from economies of scale, knowledge spillovers, and agglomeration effects. However, excessive concentration may lead to issues of congestion, resource depletion, and socio-economic imbalances. On the other hand, dispersed industrial activities can promote inclusive growth, reduce regional disparities, and enhance resilience.

The discussion also examines the policy implications of the findings. It identifies the need for targeted policies to address the challenges associated with high industrial concentration, such as the need for infrastructure development, skill enhancement, and spatially balanced growth strategies. Similarly, it highlights the importance of supporting dispersed industrial activities through regional development initiatives, investment incentives, and infrastructure provisions.

## CONCLUSION

The conclusion summarizes the key findings of the study on the industrial concentration and dispersion of major Indian industrial states. It emphasizes the varying patterns observed across different states and regions, highlighting the importance of understanding the spatial dynamics of industrial development.

The research contributes to a better understanding of the industrial landscape in major Indian industrial states, providing insights into the level of concentration or dispersion of industries and its implications for regional development. The findings offer valuable information for policymakers, government agencies, and industry stakeholders to formulate effective strategies and policies for balanced industrial growth.

The study underscores the significance of considering both concentration and dispersion aspects in industrial development planning, aiming for a balanced approach that harnesses the benefits of agglomeration while mitigating the risks of over-concentration. It emphasizes the need for context-specific policies that address the unique characteristics and challenges of each state and region.

### REFERENCES

1. Central statistical organization. National industrial classification. Government of India. 2008.
2. M.M. Mehta structure of India industries. Popular Book Depot, Bombay, India. 1961; 201.
3. J. Satyanarayan, K. Ramakrishna Sharma. Regional dispersal and location of industries in India. State and Society. 1984.
4. S.C. Huchhal. Industrial economy of India. Chaitanya Publishing House. Allahabad, India. 1989; 248.
5. K.Y. Alag. Regional Aspects of Indian Industrialization. Bombay University Press. 1972
6. A.T. Flegg, T. Tohmo. Estimating regional inputs coefficients and multipliers: The use of the FLQ is not a gamble. University of the West of England. 2013; 1-38.
7. Z. Lu, A.T. Flegg, X. Deng. Regional specialization: a measure method and the trend in China. Munich Personal RePEc Archive (MPRA). 2011; 1-25.