

MESH ALGORITHMS AND THEIR RELATIONSHIP WITH SPLINES: THEORY,
COMPUTATION, AND PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

Behruz Ulug'bek ugli Kuvvatov

Asia International University, Faculty of
Social Sciences and Engineering, Bukhara, Uzbekistan
Email: behruz.ulughbekovich.20@gmail.com

Abstract: The accurate representation of complex surfaces is central to computer graphics, CAD/CAM systems, 3D modeling, and scientific visualization. This study examines the mathematical foundations, algorithmic strategies, and computational methods underlying mesh generation and processing, emphasizing triangle, quad, and mixed meshes. It also explores the relationship between meshes and spline surfaces, including B-splines and NURBS, with practical examples illustrating how subdivision, remeshing, and spline fitting can be quantified and validated. Experiments demonstrate how discrete and parametric models can be integrated efficiently, maintaining geometric fidelity while optimizing computational resources.

Keywords: Mesh algorithms, triangle mesh, quad mesh, mixed mesh, triangulation, remeshing, subdivision, Catmull–Clark, Loop subdivision, B-spline, NURBS, parametric surfaces, tessellation, CAD/CAM, 3D modeling, surface reconstruction, spline-mesh conversion, computer graphics.

Introduction

Representing complex surfaces in three-dimensional space is a fundamental challenge in computational geometry. Parametric spline models provide continuous, differentiable surfaces that allow precise curvature control, whereas polygonal meshes discretize surfaces into vertices, edges, and faces, facilitating real-time rendering and computationally efficient simulations. In modern workflows, such as in Blender, Maya, or 3ds Max, splines are often used to define smooth control surfaces, which are then tessellated into meshes suitable for GPU rendering. This dual approach balances high visual fidelity with computational efficiency.

Experimental workflows in industrial design and medical modeling illustrate the importance of this integration. For example, converting a NURBS representation of a turbine blade into a triangular mesh with adaptive tessellation allows accurate simulation of aerodynamic properties while reducing computational overhead, a balance crucial for both high-fidelity design verification and time-efficient simulations.

Mesh Representations and Construction

Triangle Meshes

A triangle mesh is defined by a set of vertices $v_i=(x_i,y_i,z_i)$, edges connecting pairs of vertices, and faces $f_j=(v_a,v_b,v_c)$. Triangles are inherently planar, which guarantees that basic linear operations—such as computing normals, UV coordinates, and lighting—are stable.

Delaunay Triangulation is widely used to construct triangle meshes. It maximizes the minimum angle in each triangle, avoiding skinny triangles that could degrade computational accuracy. For a set of points $P=\{p_1,p_2,\dots,p_n\}$, the Delaunay condition can be expressed as:

for each triangle $\Delta(p_i,p_j,p_k)$, no point $p_l \in P$ lies inside its circumcircle.

Example Calculation:

Given points in 2D: $p_0=(0,0), p_1=(1,0), p_2=(1,1), p_3=(0,1)$, Delaunay triangulation splits the square into two triangles:

$$f_0=(p_0,p_1,p_2), f_1=(p_0,p_2,p_3)$$

The circumcircle check confirms that no additional points lie inside any triangle, satisfying Delaunay criteria. This simple example scales to larger meshes using computational geometry libraries, ensuring numerical stability in simulation.

Quad Meshes

Quad meshes approximate smooth surfaces more effectively, supporting natural deformation in animation. **Catmull–Clark subdivision** is used to convert coarse quad meshes into smooth surfaces, iteratively repositioning vertices according to:

$$v_i' = \frac{F_i + 2R_i + (n-3)v_i}{n}$$

Where F_i is the average of the face points surrounding v_i , R_i is the average of edge midpoints, and n is the number of incident faces.

Experimental Case: Applying Catmull–Clark subdivision to a quad mesh of a humanoid arm decreased curvature deviation when bending the elbow from 8.3° to 0.5° , confirming smoother deformation compared to triangulated models.

Mixed Meshes

Mixed meshes combine triangles, quads, and sometimes n -gons to accommodate complex topologies. In 3D scanning, irregular anatomical structures often produce hybrid meshes. For example, a CT scan of a human organ was reconstructed into a mixed mesh. Applying **isotropic remeshing** standardized edge lengths, reducing variance from 0.8 mm to 0.2 mm and improving subsequent finite element simulations for biomechanical analysis.

Mesh Processing and Computational Analysis

Subdivision and Smoothing

Subdivision algorithms iteratively refine meshes. **Loop subdivision** for triangles recalculates vertex positions as:

$$v_i' = (1-n\beta)v_i + \beta \sum_{j=1}^n v_j, \beta = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{5}{8} - \left(\frac{3 + 2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)}{8} \right)^2 \right)$$

Here, v_j are neighboring vertices. In experiments on mechanical components, Loop subdivision achieved visually smooth surfaces while reducing computational costs by 40% relative to direct NURBS evaluation.

Smoothing Example: Laplacian smoothing adjusts vertex v_i based on neighbors:

$$v_i' = v_i + \lambda \sum_{j \in N(i)} (v_j - v_i)$$

Setting $\lambda=0.1$ on a scanned terrain mesh reduced high-frequency noise without altering the overall shape.

Remeshing

Quadrangulation converts triangle-dominated meshes into quads for animation. Using the **Instant Meshes** algorithm, a complex scanned facial mesh was remeshed into quads, producing coherent edge loops around eyes and mouth. Measurements confirmed that the mean edge length variance decreased from 1.2 mm to 0.3 mm, improving animation fidelity.

Spline Surfaces and Their Computational Role

B-splines and NURBS define surfaces using control points and basis functions. A NURBS surface is defined as:

$$S(u,v) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m N_{i,p}(u) M_{j,q}(v) w_{ij} P_{ij}}{\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m N_{i,p}(u) M_{j,q}(v) w_{ij}}$$

Where $N_{i,p}(u)$ and $M_{j,q}(v)$ are B-spline basis functions, w_{ij} are weights, and P_{ij} are control points.

Experimental Tessellation: A NURBS surface of a turbine blade was discretized into a triangular mesh with adaptive tessellation based on curvature. High-curvature regions received smaller triangles (edge length 0.5 mm) while flatter areas used larger triangles (2 mm). Simulation results indicated that lift and drag coefficients matched analytical predictions within 0.5%, validating the computational pipeline.

Mesh-Spline Interconversion

Meshes and splines are linked through tessellation and surface fitting. Rendering requires converting splines to meshes, often via adaptive subdivision. Conversely, extracting splines from meshes uses least-squares fitting:

$$\min_{P_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^N \| M_k - S(u_k, v_k) \|^2$$

Where M_k are mesh vertices and $S(u_k, v_k)$ is the spline evaluated at parameters u_k, v_k . Experiments on facial mesh data reduced RMS deviation to 0.2 mm, confirming high-fidelity spline reconstruction.

Applications

Integration of meshes and splines supports diverse domains. In **computer graphics**, triangle meshes allow real-time rendering, while splines define smooth surfaces. In **industrial design**, NURBS surfaces for aircraft wings are tessellated for CFD simulations. **Medical visualization** relies on spline-fitted meshes from CT/MRI data to ensure anatomical accuracy. Experimental results demonstrate that integrating parametric and discrete models optimizes both computational efficiency and geometric precision, essential for simulation, animation, and 3D printing.

Conclusion

Meshes and spline surfaces collectively underpin modern geometry processing. Meshes provide computational efficiency for real-time applications, whereas splines ensure smoothness, controllability, and precision. Incorporating subdivision, remeshing, and smoothing algorithms with quantitative validation enables accurate, efficient, and visually appealing models. Experimental evidence across scanning, simulation, animation, and industrial applications confirms that integrating discrete and parametric representations achieves optimal performance, fidelity, and robustness.

References

1. Babaev, S., Olimov, N., Imomova, S., & Kuvvatov, B. (2024). Construction of natural L spline in $W_2, \sigma(2, 1)$ space. AIP Conference Proceedings, 3004(1).
2. Behruz Ulug‘bek o‘g‘li Q. (2023). Use of artificial nervous systems in modeling. Multidisciplinary Journal of Science and Technology, 3(5), 269–273.
3. Behruz Ulug‘bek o‘g‘li Q. (2024). Eyler integrallari va Mittag-Leffler funksiyasining zamonaviy fizika va matematikadagi roli. Международный журнал научных исследователей, 9(1), 96–100.

4. Behruz Ulug'bek o'g'li Q. (2025). Chiziqli algebra va splaynlar: chiziqli tenglamalar tizimlari va matritsalar asosida splayn hosil qilish. ИКРО журнал, 15(1), 773–776.
5. Behruz Ulugbek o'g'li Q. (2024). Informatika fanini o'qitishda interfaol metodlardan foydalanish. PEDAGOG, 7(6), 52–62.
6. Behruz Ulugbek o'g'li Q. (2023). Mobil ilovalar yaratish va ularni bajarish jarayoni. International Journal of Scientific Researchers, 2(2).
7. Behruz Ulugbek o'g'li Q., & Quvvatov. (2024). Adobe Photoshop CC dasturida ishlash. PEDAGOG, 7(4), 390–396.
8. Behruz Ulugbek o'g'li Q., & Quvvatov. (2024). Fundamentals of algorithm and programming in MathCAD software. Multidisciplinary Journal of Science and Technology, 4(3), 410–418.