

**PEDAGOGICAL APPROACHES TO USING 4C TECHNOLOGY FOR
DEVELOPING SPATIAL THINKING SKILLS IN PRIMARY EDUCATION**

Kurbonova Adiba Nuriddinovna
Bukhara State Pedagogical Institute
Primary Education 1st year master's student
esanovaadiba@gmail.com

Abstract: This article analyzes the current state of 4C technology (creativity, critical thinking, communication, and cooperative learning) in the development of spatial visualization skills among primary school students, and explores novel methods to improve this process. Based on Uzbek and international pedagogical experience, the investigation points out the content, didactic potential, and educational effectiveness of visual, interactive, and competency-based approaches in teaching spatial shapes. In addition, a comparison study of conventional instructional techniques and 4C technology-based approaches is conducted, substantiating the significance of creative tasks, problem-based situations, collaborative activities, and visual modeling in the development of spatial visualization skills. The findings identify methodological directions that further improve the process of developing spatial visualization skills in primary education practice. The study proposes practical methodological guidelines for integrating 4C technology into primary mathematics lessons and may be useful for teachers seeking to enhance students' spatial thinking skills.

Keywords: Spatial visualization, 4C technology, primary education, creative strategy, geometric shapes, competency-based education.

Introduction

The President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Shavkat Mirziyoyev, has stressed the need to modernize the education sector and advance mathematics education, highlighting that mathematics is more than simply numbers and calculations, yet an essential subject that shapes students' logical thinking, understanding of the world, and future success [1]. This approach indicates the need to introduce new, novel instructional strategies for spatial shapes at the primary school level. However, many students struggle to master this topic, as traditional teaching approaches commonly fail to sufficiently engage their interest [2]. Therefore, this article examines effective methodological approaches and provides practical recommendations for teachers.

In the context of globalization and digital transformation, the education system is required not only to provide knowledge but also to develop 21st-century competencies in students [3]. The primary education stage serves as the foundation of this process. In particular, developing spatial visualization skills in primary school students is vital for boosting mathematical thinking, logical reasoning, and creative approaches [4].

Spatial visualization is a student's ability to perceive geometric shapes, imagine them in space, compare them, and mentally model them [4]. Traditional teaching strategies regularly do not adequately develop these skills [5]. Consequently, in recent years, integrating 4C technology-creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration-into the educational process has become an urgent issue. The purpose of this article is to examine the current state of 4C technology use in developing spatial visualization skills among primary school students and to propose novel methods to improve this process.

Methods

This study is a scientific and methodological investigation based on qualitative analysis, with particular emphasis on an in-depth examination of scholarly sources on pedagogy and primary education methodology. During the research process, general scientific methods, such as analysis, comparison, systematization, and generalization, were used to examine the pedagogical literature. The conceptual basis of the study is formed by the works of Uzbek scholars (F.Z. Xusanova, M.A. Axrolova, N. Tursunova) and international researchers whose papers discuss 4C technology and spatial thinking.

The research methodology was developed based on a competency-based approach and an activity-oriented education concept [6]. In particular, the didactic potential of the components of 4C technology—creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration—in the development of spatial visualization skills was theoretically analyzed. In addition, traditional and innovative methods used in teaching spatial shapes in primary education practice were examined, and their advantages and limitations were identified [7].

The research process was carried out in the following stages:

- conducting a conceptual analysis of the concepts of spatial visualization and 4C technology based on scientific and pedagogical literature;
- identifying the current state of methods and tools used in teaching spatial shapes in primary education practice;
- analyzing and evaluating the pedagogical potential of visual, interactive, and competency-based approaches;
- conducting a comparative study of standard practices and those based on 4C technology, followed by drawing methodological conclusions.

In addition, within the framework of the study, the following activities that may be implemented in this field are also considered:

- developing a system of 4C-based tasks designed to improve the spatial visualization skills of primary school students;
- investigating the educational effectiveness of visual and modeling tools used in the teaching process (3D models, graphic representations, construction kits);
- identifying the level of teachers' methodological preparedness for using 4C technology;
- developing methodological recommendations for conducting future empirical research.

This research-based approach guaranteed the study's scientific validity and enabled an in-depth study of the current state of 4C technology use in developing spatial visualization skills among primary school students.

Results

The analysis showed that, in developing primary school students' spatial visualization skills, the elements of 4C technology—creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration—function in an interrelated and integrated manner. For instance, creative tasks encourage students to explore multiple solutions to spatial problems, while critical thinking activities foster deeper analysis of geometric relationships. Communication supports the articulation of spatial reasoning, and collaborative exercises enable the sharing and refinement of mental models among peers. Collectively, these components contribute to a comprehensive learning environment that effectively enhances students' spatial visualization skills.

Table 1: *Components of 4C Technology in the Development of Spatial Visualization*

4C Component	Educational Content	Impact on Spatial Visualization
--------------	---------------------	---------------------------------

Creativity	Modeling, construction kits, 3D shapes	Development of the ability to freely visualize shapes
Critical Thinking	Problem-based tasks, analysis	Enhanced understanding of spatial relationships
Communication	Explaining ideas, question-and-answer activities	Verbal expression of spatial concepts
Collaboration	Group-based activities	Development of collaborative thinking and discussion

Tables 1 and 2 were developed to better illustrate the role of 4C technology in enhancing students' spatial visualization skills and to support the comparative analysis presented in the study. Table 1 outlines the contributions of each component of 4C technology—creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration—to the development of spatial visualization. For example, the table demonstrates how creative tasks promote exploration of diverse spatial solutions, while collaborative activities facilitate mutual understanding and mental modeling among peers.

Table 2 presents a side-by-side comparison of customary instructional strategies and 4C technology-based approaches. As shown in the table, conventional methods typically emphasize explanation and demonstration, resulting in more passive student involvement. In contrast, lessons incorporating 4C elements prioritize active learning, student engagement, and higher-order thinking skills. The comparative table highlights the greater effectiveness of 4C-based strategies in fostering student interest, participation, and competency in spatial visualization. These tables substantiate the research findings by providing concrete examples and enabling clearer analysis of the pedagogical differences and benefits associated with 4C technology.

According to the results, lessons organized around 4C technology significantly increase students' interest in learning and their level of engagement.

Table 2 Comparative Study of Customary Strategies and 4C Technology-Based Approaches

Indicator	Traditional Approach	4C-Based Approach
Student engagement	Low	High
Visual visualization	Limited	Developed
Independent thinking	Low	High
Collaboration	Rarely applied	Core method

Discussion

The findings of the study indicate that relying exclusively on traditional explanation and demonstration methods in developing spatial visualization skills among primary school students presents several specific challenges and limitations. These methods often fail to address diverse learning styles, provide limited opportunities for practical application, and restrict student engagement by positioning learners as passive recipients of information. Furthermore, they may not sufficiently encourage the development of higher-order thinking skills or adaptability in problem-solving contexts. In contrast, approaches based on 4C

technology engage students as active participants throughout the learning process, supporting intellectual involvement, independent thinking, and critical problem-solving abilities.

The study also reveals that the use of innovative tools, including 3D models, interactive platforms, and game-based tasks, plays a significant pedagogical role in the development of spatial thinking. Through these tools, students cannot only observe geometric shapes but also visualize them in space, compare them, and mentally model them. As a result, students' understanding of spatial shapes becomes more precise and stable.

At the same time, it was identified that the effective implementation of 4C technology depends on several organizational and methodological factors. First and foremost, teachers must possess adequate methodological preparedness to design and organize lessons using 4C technology. During professional development programs, it is necessary to provide teachers with specialized training focused on competency-based approaches, interactive learning methods, and the use of digital tools. In addition, the material and technical infrastructure of educational institutions, particularly the availability of visual materials, geometric models, digital resources, and interactive devices, is a key factor in determining the effectiveness of 4C technology.[8]

The discussion results show that the gradual implementation of 4C technology-based approaches, adapted to the age-related and psychological characteristics of primary school students, can greatly improve educational effectiveness. Furthermore, fusing traditional and innovative methods enables further improvement in the process of developing spatial visualization skills. This highlights promising directions for the application of 4C technology in primary education practice.

Conclusion

In conclusion, scientific evidence shows that the use of 4C technology in developing primary school students' spatial visualization skills fully meets the requirements of modern education. The research results show that an educational process oriented toward creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration is more effective in developing students' spatial thinking than standard practices. In particular, methods based on visual modeling, interactive tasks, and group-based activities strengthen students' skills in mentally visualizing geometric shapes, analyzing them, and applying them in practice.

The study also revealed that lessons organized around 4C technology not just improve spatial visualization skills but also positively influence students' creative thinking, independent decision-making, and teamwork-oriented working culture. This, in turn, expands the possibilities for effectively implementing a competency-based approach at the primary education level.

Furthermore, the comparative study of customary strategies and those based on 4C technology underscores the need to incorporate innovative instructional strategies into primary education practice. Building on these findings, it is recommended that schools implement structured professional development workshops for teachers focused on designing and integrating 4C-based tasks, such as collaborative geometric modeling projects or student-led problem-solving sessions that utilize digital visualization tools. Establishing collaborative networks among educators to share effective 4C lesson plans and outcomes can further support the diffusion of innovative practices. Future empirical research should investigate the impact of such targeted interventions, with the aim of refining methodological guidance and maximizing the effectiveness of 4C technology in the development of spatial visualization skills.

References

1. **O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti.** “O‘zbekiston yoshlari forumidagi nutq”, 2020-yil 24-dekabr. Manba: O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidentining rasmiy veb-sayti - “president.uz”, shuningdek “Xalq so‘zi” gazetasi, 2020-yil 25-dekabr soni. /President of the Republic of Uzbekistan. “Speech at the Forum of Youth of Uzbekistan”, December 24, 2020. Source: Official website of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan - “president.uz”, as well as the newspaper “Xalq so‘zi”, issue of December 25, 2020.
2. **Xusanova F.Z., Axrolova M.A.** “Boshlang‘ich sinf matematika darslarida fazoviy shakllar to‘plamini o‘rgatish metodikasi” // “Xalq ta‘limi” jurnali. – 2022. – №4. – B. 45–49. /Xusanova, F. Z., Axrolova, M. A. “Methodology for teaching a set of spatial shapes in primary school mathematics lessons” // “Xalq Ta‘limi” Journal. – 2022. – No. 4. – pp. 45–49.
3. Iklassova, K. (2024). Towards the development of a decision-making system for indicative planning in higher education institutions. Vestnik of M Kozybayev North Kazakhstan University.
4. Newcombe, N. S., Uttal, D. H. “Spatial thinking and STEM education” // “Educational Psychology Review”. – 2020. – Vol. 32. – No. 3. – pp. 1–29.
5. **Tursunova N.** “Boshlang‘ich sinflarda geometrik shakllarni o‘rgatish metodikasi”. – Toshkent: “O‘zbekiston Milliy universiteti” nashriyoti, 2019. – 128 b. /Tursunova, N. “Methodology of teaching geometric shapes in primary schools”. – Tashkent: “National University of Uzbekistan” Publishing House, 2019. – 128 p.
6. **O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Maktabgacha va maktab ta‘limi vazirligi.** “Boshlang‘ich ta‘lim davlat ta‘lim standarti”. – Toshkent, 2021. – 34 b. /Ministry of Preschool and School Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan. “State educational standard for primary education”. – Tashkent, 2021. – 34 p.
7. **Repyova I.V.** “Matematika: 4-sinf darsligi, 4-qism”. – Toshkent: “Novda Edutainment”, 2023. – 96 b. /Repyova, I. V. “Mathematics: Grade 4 textbook, Part 4”. – Tashkent: “Novda Edutainment”, 2023. – 96 p.
8. Tan, L., & Wong, K. (2014). Development of Knowledge Management Measurement Metrics and Their Importance in Performance Measurement. International Conference on Intellectual Capital and Knowledge Management and Organisational Learning, (), 541-548.
9. Brief Review of Fadel, C., & Trilling, B. (2009). 21st Century Skills: Learning for Life in Our Times. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
10. Mix, K. S., & Cheng, Y.-L. (2012). The relation between space and math: Developmental and educational implications. Advances in Child Development and Behavior, 42, 197–243.
11. Cooperative learning: Review of research and practice. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(3), 39–54.